

Note

A 3 km atmospheric boundary layer on Titan indicated by dune spacing and Huygens data

Ralph D. Lorenz^{a,*}, Phillipe Claudin^b, Bruno Andreotti^b, Jani Radebaugh^c, Tetsuya Tokano^d

^aSpace Department, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, 11100 Johns Hopkins Road, Laurel, MD 20723, USA

^bLaboratoire de Physique et Mécanique des Milieux Hétérogènes (PMMH UMR 7636 CNRS-ESPCI-UPMC-UPD), ESPCI, 10 rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris, France

^cDepartment of Geological Sciences, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA

^dInstitut für Geophysik und Meteorologie, Universität zu Köln, Albertus-Magnus-Platz, 50923 Köln, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 May 2009

Revised 10 August 2009

Accepted 11 August 2009

Available online 21 August 2009

Keywords:

Titan

Meteorology

Geological processes

ABSTRACT

Some 20% of Titan's surface is covered in large linear dunes that resemble in morphology, size and spacing (1–3 km) those seen on Earth. Although gravity, atmospheric density and sand composition are very different on these two worlds, this coincident size scale suggests that the controlling parameter limiting the growth of giant dunes, namely the boundary layer thickness (Andreotti et al., 2009). Nature, 457, 1120–1123], is similar. We show that a ~3 km boundary layer thickness is supported by Huygens descent data and is consistent with results from Global Circulation Models taking the distinctive thermal inertia and albedo of the dune sands into account. While the boundary layer thickness on Earth controlling dunes can vary by an order of magnitude depending on the proximity of oceans, which have very different thermal properties from dry land, the relative invariance of dune spacing on Titan is consistent with relatively uniform thermal properties near the dunes and no prominent variation with latitude is seen.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Saturn's moon Titan was unexpectedly (Lorenz et al., 1995) discovered to have large areas covered in giant linear dunes (Lorenz et al., 2006). These dunes cover about 20% of the surface (Radebaugh et al., 2008; Lorenz and Radebaugh, 2009), almost exclusively in a band at the equator bounded by $\pm 30^\circ$ latitude. The dunes were discovered in Cassini RADAR images, and appear morphologically identical, and indeed rather similar in size, to large linear dunes on Earth, such as those in the Namib or Arabian deserts (e.g. Lorenz et al., 2006; Radebaugh et al., in press). An initial survey (Radebaugh et al., 2008) suggested typical lengths of 30–50 km and a width of about 1 km and spacing of 1–3 km. In areas where the sand – likely composed principally of organic material (e.g. Soderblom et al., 2007) produced by atmospheric photochemistry of methane – is plentiful enough to blanket interdune areas, radarclinometry (shape-from-shading) has allowed their height to be measured to be about 150 m (Lorenz et al., 2006). In another area, where exposed interdunes suggest sand is less plentiful, heights of 30–70 m have been estimated (Barnes et al., 2008) from near-infrared images, and the dune spacing has a mean value of 2.1 km.

Recent work has shown that there are two fundamental scaling parameters that control the size of sand dunes. The first is the so-called saturation length, i.e. the length over which the sediment flux relaxes towards its equilibrium value. This length defines the wavelength scale at which a flat sand bed will destabilize (Claudin and Andreotti, 2006) to form 'elementary' dunes (Elbelrhiti et al., 2005). To a first approximation, the grain inertia is the dominant mechanism in the saturation process, and the saturation length is itself proportional to the drag length $(\rho_s/\rho_f)d$, the distance over which a particle of size d , density ρ_s is accelerated to a velocity approaching that of the wind in air of density ρ_f . These elementary dunes, of size found to be $\sim 53(\rho_s/\rho_f)d$ (Claudin and Andreotti, 2006) can grow and coalesce to form progressively larger dunes, but the growth rate asymptotically declines as the dune spacing length scale approaches the second scaling parameter, the thick-

ness of the atmospheric boundary layer (Andreotti et al., 2009). The static stability at the top of this layer provides a 'capping' function, much as does the free surface of the water for subaqueous dunes, and limits the dune growth. On Earth, elementary dunes have a scale of ~ 20 m, whereas on Titan ($\rho_f \sim 5.4 \text{ kg/m}^3$) the corresponding size (assuming organic 'sand' with $\rho_s \sim 800 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $d \sim 200 \mu\text{m}$ is ~ 1.5 m, far below the resolution of Cassini instrumentation. The dunes observed by Cassini are therefore 'giant' dunes, formed by the growth or aggregation of these smaller elementary bedforms. Dunes typically have heights about 12 times smaller than their spacing, and so the spacing limit due to the boundary layer also determines the maximum height of dunes, although attainment of this maximum height also requires a sufficient sand supply and time for growth.

In the absence of direct measurement of the boundary layer (which requires vertical profiling of the atmosphere), an effective proxy measure was determined by Andreotti et al. (2009) to be a scaling length of $\delta\Theta/\gamma$, where $\delta\Theta$ is the characteristic (seasonal) variation in potential temperature near the ground, and γ is the potential temperature lapse rate $d\Theta/dz$. This correlation appears to hold for over an order of magnitude of terrestrial dune sizes from coastal dunes of ~ 300 m to giant continental dunes of ~ 3.5 km, and the arguments are based on completely general fluid dynamics considerations. In this paper, we compare expectations from this correlation with the observed dune morphometrics on Titan and discuss the implications for the largely unknown meteorology of Titan.

Note that while the boundary layer thickness controls the limiting size of giant dunes, and also controls the size of helical roll vortices sometimes rendered visible by condensation forming long roll clouds, it should not be inferred (e.g. Hanna, 1969) that roll vortices necessarily form the dunes. The two observable effects represent a common cause, not cause and effect.

2. Observations of dune spacing

We made measurements on 4 image swaths from the Cassini RADAR instrument over areas where dunes appear as dark streaks on a brighter substrate and are thus easy to define (e.g. Fig. 1). Swaths projected at 128 pixels/degree ($\sim 350 \text{ m/pixel}$) were studied in Adobe Photoshop by drawing a line of known length to guide the eye and counting by hand the number of dunes crossed.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ralph.lorenz@jhuapl.edu (R.D. Lorenz).




Fig. 1. A section of the T 41 Titan SAR swath at 180°W, 25°S, southeast of the Huygens landing site, showing typical dune spacing. Although dark topographic obstacles can interrupt the dunefield, the characteristic ~3 km spacing is evident.

Results are as follows: T 21 (15°S to 15°N, Belet) dune spacings were 8.2, 9.2, 8.0 and 7.4 pixels, for an average of 8.2 pixels or 2.88 km. T 25 (20°S to 20°N, Fensal, Aztlan) spacings were 7.7, 7.6, 8.5, 8.1 and 8.3 pixels, average 8.0 or 2.8 km. T 41 (30°S to 10°N, Shangri-La near Huygens Landing site) spacings were 8.3, 7.2, 7.1, 8.8 and 8.4, leading to an average of 8.0 pixels or 2.8 km, and T 41 HiSAR (equator, Fensal) spacings were 10.2, 9.1 and 8.0, giving an average of 9.1 pixels or 3.19 km.

It is seen that there is remarkable uniformity among the observed Titan dune spacings in widely-separated regions, in contrast to the Earth where the scale size and spacing of giant dunes can vary by an order of magnitude. Barnes et al. (2008) report a mean spacing of 2.1 km for dunes observed in Fensal (between 14°N and 17°N). Radebaugh et al. (2008) report occurrences of spacings of 1–2 km, referring to some closely-spaced dunes (some apparently superposed on dunes with a slightly different orientation, perhaps suggesting a change in wind regime) in Belet, at around 6°S, 257°W and 8.5°S, 244°W. The Belet Sand Sea is particularly sand-rich, with sandy interdunes and little interruption to the dunes from topography or sand supply constriction. It may be noted that the broadside-on viewing geometry of these dunes in T 8 (where the geometry of dunes was first recognized, Lorenz et al. (2006) – their Fig. 2 shows a radarclinometric profile of 9 dunes spanning 22 km, or a mean spacing of 2.75 km) may have been particularly favorable for detecting smaller dunes.

Thus although some examples of more narrowly-spaced dunes exist, the majority of observable dune spacings are 2–3 km. There is no large-scale regional trend, nor an obvious variation with latitude. Since Andreotti et al. (2009) suggest dune growth is limited by the thickness of the boundary layer, this suggests that the Titan layer must have been 3 km or more when the dunes were formed. In addition, many of Titan's interdune areas are clear of sand despite mass wasting processes; therefore, at least some of the dunes are likely active today (Barnes et al., 2008).

3. Huygens probe measurement of boundary layer thickness

A single detailed profile of Titan's atmosphere exists, namely the in situ measurements made by the Huygens Atmospheric Structure Instrument (HASI, e.g. Fulchignoni et al., 2005). This profile (Fig. 2) occurred was acquired at around 9 am local solar time, near 10°S, 192°W. The analysis by Tokano et al. (2006) noted a uniform region of potential temperature Θ between the surface and 300 m altitude and therefore assigned the latter value as the thickness of a weakly convecting planetary boundary layer. However, as noted recently by Griffith et al. (2008), Θ is also near-constant over the regions 0.5–0.7 km and 1–2 km. They suggest that an original 2 km boundary layer may have been modified by subsequent surface evaporation to produce the lower steps in Θ . We suggest instead that the 0.7 and 2 km layer (possibly even a 3 km inflection in the potential temperature profile shown in Fig. 2 – all these points are determined by inspection and thus must be considered somewhat subjective), are remnants of the boundary layer formed in previous days, the so-called 'residual layer' (Stull, 2008) while the convective boundary layer developing on landing day defines the most obvious 300 m layer. One may risk over-interpreting a single profile of a temporally and spatially-variable atmosphere, but the Huygens data is the only direct measurement presently at hand. Our main point




Fig. 2. The near-surface potential temperature profile derived from Huygens data (Tokano et al., 2006). The constant Θ region 0–300 m identified in that paper as the boundary layer is clearly seen (A); two additional steps in the profile at ~0.9 km and ~2 km (B and C) were suggested by Griffith et al. (2008) as suggesting a rather thicker, older boundary layer (C) had been modified subsequently (A and B). An additional inflection is seen at ~3.3 km (D).

in this section of the paper has been to demonstrate that despite an earlier report, a typical boundary layer thickness of 2–3 km is not inconsistent with the Huygens data. Radio occultations by the Cassini orbiter should yield refractivity profiles at several latitudes which may allow constraints to be placed on the boundary layer thickness. However, such results have not yet been published.

4. Global circulation model predictions and implications for Titan

Although Martian global circulation models (GCMs) are beginning to make headway on the question, Titan GCMs have not so far offered predictions of the thickness of the boundary layer as a function of location and season. The only predictive assessment was that of Allison (1992) who suggested a Titan boundary layer thickness of ~700 m based on general scaling arguments.

However, we can use the heuristic $\delta\Theta/\gamma$ as a proxy for the boundary layer thickness and dune spacing. Further, in the absence of additional data, we must assume that the potential temperature variation can be equated to the thermodynamic temperature variation (i.e. $\delta\Theta \sim \delta T$). The data in Andreotti et al. (2009) show this to be correct to within ~20% for all the locations studied on Earth. For the relevant γ , we adopt the ~0.5 K/km seen in the lowermost few km of the Huygens profile (Fig. 2).

The GCM study by Tokano (2005) explores the seasonal variations in surface temperature on Titan as a function of surface type. He considers three globally-uniform surfaces, a bright 'porous icy regolith' (with albedo 0.38 and thermal inertia $I = 334 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1/2} \text{ K}^{-1}$), a rock-ice mixture with higher thermal inertia, and hydrocarbon lakes (with albedo 0.1 and a thermal inertia of $812 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1/2} \text{ K}^{-1}$). As we now know, Titan's surface is very heterogenous, and none of these cases likely describes the sand seas. However, by comparing the different results, we can get a sense of what is plausible.

For the porous icy regolith, the equatorial surface temperature has an annual swing of about 1 K, somewhat higher at 30° latitude, and polar temperatures vary by about 4 K. The amplitude of the near-surface atmospheric temperature cycle is about half as much. In fact, the sand seas will likely see a variation in temperature rather higher than this. First, the porosity of loose organic sands will be higher than the ~10% characteristic of a somewhat sintered regolith (the parameters were adopted from a self-compaction study by Kossacki and Lorenz, 1996) and so the density will be lower by a factor of ~2 and thus the thermal inertia will be at least 50% lower depending on the other details of the sand material. Second, because the sands are dark (with an albedo of around 5%) the absorbed insolation will be higher by about 50%. These two effects might be expected to combine to double the temperature variation. Thus we may estimate the low-latitude surface temperature variation at 2–4 K with the boundary layer temperatures having δT at 1–2 K. The hydrocarbon lake scenario, with an appropriately low albedo but higher thermal inertia than dune sands has similarly a surface low-latitude temperature swing of ~3 K (note that latent heat effects of lake evaporation were not modeled, so in fact this scenario is a reasonable approximation of a sand sea, although it has a drag coefficient that may be small compared with that appropriate for a dune-covered terrain).

Taking these results together, it seems that δT of the order of 1–2 K is not unreasonable, and thus $\delta T/\gamma$ of ~2–4 km would be predicted, which is in encouraging agreement with the observed dune spacing, and the boundary layer thickness sug-




Fig. 3. A 45×50 km section of the Namib desert at 15°E , 25°S , observed by the Shuttle Imaging Radar (SIR-C) mission in 1994 (scene PR44419) – brightness is inverted for clarity. The bright dark South Atlantic Ocean is at left, with a strip of small coastal dunes (spacing 500–600 m) grading into much larger linear dunes inland (spaced by 1.5–2.5 km), suggesting echoing the expected trend of the atmospheric boundary layer thickness may exhibit a similar trend.

gested by Huygens. In any case, further GCM simulation with more detailed surface properties are called for.

Recently *Jennings et al.* (2009) have reported surface temperature measurements across Titan from thermal infrared brightness temperatures in the 520 cm^{-1} window region using the Cassini Composite Infrared Spectrometer (CIRS). They find equatorial temperatures of 93.7 K, with the north (winter pole) ~ 91.7 K and the south pole at around 92.4 K. They also noted that the sand sea of Belet had a temperature about 1 K higher than that of equatorial bright areas, supporting the argument above that the sands absorb more sunlight and are warmer as a result.

5. Conclusions and Implications for Titan

Titan's dunes prove to be an important diagnostic of that world's atmosphere. It has already been shown (*Mitchell, 2008; Rannou et al., 2006*) that the latitudinal extent of the dunes seems to be consistent with the transport of methane humidity away from low latitudes, and the prograde (West to East) sand transport implied by the dune morphology (*Lorenz et al., 2006; Radebaugh et al., 2008, in press; Lorenz and Radebaugh, 2009*) poses some interesting challenges to circulation models, which expect low-latitude near-surface winds to be generally East to West. In this paper we have demonstrated that the scaling theory of *Andreotti et al. (2009)* seems to hold on Titan, namely that dune spacing may be controlled by the thickness of the atmospheric boundary layer, indicated to be 2–3 km thick from Huygens data.

As befits a world of which some 20% is covered by dunes, Titan appears to have a climate with features in common with that of terrestrial deserts. Whereas the boundary layer, and thus the size of dunes, can be attenuated on Earth by the presence of nearby oceans with high thermal inertia – e.g. see the smaller dunes on the Namib coast (*Fig. 3*) compared with the larger ones inland, the dune spacing on Titan appears rather uniform, suggesting that the boundary layer may have a more or less constant limiting size over the low-latitude sand seas, whereas simulations might indicate that temperature contrasts should increase with latitude.

We note in closing that there is much to be learned by studying Titan's landscape and atmosphere: the complexity of the Huygens profile suggests that meteorological characterization of the boundary layer and its variations will be important and interesting. Further, the small drag length in Titan's thick atmosphere and resultant elementary dune size of ~ 1.5 m suggests that imaging of resolution ~ 0.1 m will be needed to adequately characterize the aeolian geomorphology on Titan.

Acknowledgments

R.L. acknowledges the support of the Cassini project via the Cassini RADAR investigation. B.A. and P.C. acknowledge partial support from the Agence National de la Recherche. J.R. acknowledges support from the NASA Outer Planets Research Project. T.T. acknowledges the support from the DFG. We thank Jason Barnes and an anonymous referee for useful comments.

References

- Allison, M., 1992. A preliminary assessment of the Titan planetary boundary layer. In: Kaldeich, B. (Ed.), Proceedings of the Symposium on Titan, Toulouse, France, 9–12 September, 1991. ESA SP-338, European Space Agency, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, pp.113–118.
- Andreotti, B., Fourriere, A., Ould-Kaddour, F., Murray, B., Claudin, P., 2009. Size of giant aeolian dunes limited by the average depth of the atmospheric boundary layer. *Nature* 457, 1120–1123.
- Barnes, J.W., Brown, R.H., Soderblom, L., Sotin, C., Le Mouelic, S., Rodriguez, S., Jaumann, R., Beyer, R.A., Clark, R., Nicholson, P., 2008. Spectroscopy, morphometry, and photoclinometry of Titan's dunefields from Cassini/VIMS. *Icarus* 195, 400–414.
- Claudin, P., Andreotti, B., 2006. A scaling law for aeolian dunes on Mars, Venus, Earth, and for subaqueous ripples. *Earth. Planet. Sci. Lett.* 252, 30–44.
- Elbelrhiti, H., Claudin, P., Andreotti, B., 2005. Field evidence for surface-wave-induced instability of sand dunes. *Nature* 437, 720–723.
- Fulchignoni, M., and 41 colleagues, 2005. In situ measurements of the physical characteristics of Titan's environment. *Nature* 438, 785–791.
- Griffith, C.A., McKay, C.P., Ferri, F., 2008. Titan's tropical storms in an evolving atmosphere. *Astrophys. J.* 687, L41–L44.
- Hanna, S.R., 1969. The formation of longitudinal sand dunes by large helical eddies in the atmosphere. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* 8, 874–883.
- Jennings, D.E., Flasar, F.M., Kunde, V.G., Samuelson, R.E., Pearl, J.C., Nixon, C.A., Carlson, R.C., Mamoutkine, A.A., Brasunas, J.C., Guandique, E., Achterberg, R.K., Bjoraker, G.L., Romani, P.N., Segura, M.E., Albright, S.A., Elliott, M.H., Tingley, J.S., Calcutt, S., Coustenis, A., Courtin, R., 2009. Titan's surface brightness temperatures. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 691, L103–L105.
- Kossacki, K.J., Lorenz, R.D., 1996. Hiding Titan's ocean: Densification and hydrocarbon storage in an icy regolith. *Planet. Space Sci.* 44, 1029–1037.
- Lorenz, R.D., Radebaugh, J., 2009. The global pattern of titan's dunes: Radar survey from the Cassini prime mission. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L03202.
- Lorenz, R.D., Lunine, J.I., Grier, J.A., Fisher, M.A., 1995. Prediction of aeolian features on planets: Application to Titan paleoclimatology. *J. Geophys. Res.* 88 (26), 326–377. 386.
- Lorenz, R.D., and 39 colleagues, 2006. The sand seas of Titan: Cassini RADAR observations of longitudinal dunes. *Science* 312, 724–727.
- Mitchell, J., 2008. The drying of Titan's dunes: Titan's methane hydrology and its impact on atmospheric circulation. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, E08015.
- Radebaugh, J., Lorenz, R., Lunine, J., Wall, S., Boubin, G., Reffet, E., Kirk, R., Lopes, R., Stofan, E., Soderblom, L., Allison, M., Janssen, M., Paillou, P., Callahan, P., and the Cassini Radar Team, 2008. Dunes on Titan observed by Cassini Radar. *Icarus* 194, 690–703.
- Radebaugh, J., Lorenz, R., Farr, T., Paillou, P., Savage, C., Spencer, C., in press. Linear dunes on Titan and Earth: Remote sensing comparisons. *Geomorphology*.
- Rannou, P., Montmessin, F., Hourdin, F., Lebonnois, S., 2006. The latitudinal distribution of clouds on Titan. *Science* 311, 201–205.
- Soderblom, L., and 26 colleagues, 2007. Correlations between Cassini VIMS spectra and RADAR SAR images: Implications for Titan's surface composition and the character of the Huygens probe landing site. *Planet. Space Sci.* 55, 2025–2036.
- Stull, R.B., 2008. An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology. Springer, New York.
- Tokano, T., 2005. Meteorological assessment of the surface temperatures on Titan: Constraints on the surface type. *Icarus* 173, 222–242.
- Tokano, T., Ferri, F., Colombatti, G., Mäkinen, T., Fulchignoni, M., 2006. Titan's planetary boundary layer structure at the Huygens landing site. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, E08007.